

May 6, 2015

**AMENDED RESULTS OF REMAND REDETERMINATION**  
***NTN Bearing Corporation of America, v. United States***  
Court No. 10-00286, Slip Op. 15-12 (CIT February 3, 2015)

**Summary**

These amended results of remand redetermination are prepared in accordance with the order of the U.S. Court of International Trade (the Court or CIT) in *NTN Bearing Corporation of America, v. United States*, Court No. 10-00286, Slip Op. 15-12 (CIT February 3, 2015) (*Remand Order*). The litigation involves challenges to the determination of the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) in the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan for the period May 1, 2008, through April 30, 2009.<sup>1</sup>

On remand, the Department has revised its calculation of NTN's U.S. credit expenses to use the correct variable, and, as a result, recalculated the weighted-average dumping margin for NTN.<sup>2</sup> The changes to our calculations with respect to NTN did not result in a change in the weighted-average dumping margin. In these amended remand results we continue to determine a weighted-average dumping margin of 13.46 percent for NTN. We are amending the remand redetermination to consider the comments on the draft remand redetermination filed by The Timken Company<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661 (September 1, 2010) (AFBs 20).

<sup>2</sup> See Results of Remand Redetermination pursuant to *NTN Bearing Corporation of America, v. United States* Court No. 10-00286, Slip Op. 15-12 (CIT February 3, 2015), issued on April 13, 2015.

<sup>3</sup> See The Timken Company's comments dated March 6, 2015.

## **Background**

### Final Results

In *AFBs 20*, we intended to recalculate NTN's credit expenses with respect to sales that had not been paid at the time of NTN's original response.<sup>4</sup> For those sales for which NTN had received payment between the time NTN submitted its original questionnaire response and its supplemental questionnaire response, we used the actual payment date to calculate the adjustment for NTN's imputed credit expense; for those sales for which NTN had not yet received payment at the time of NTN's supplemental response, we recalculated the adjustment for imputed credit expenses using the date of NTN's supplemental response as the date of payment.<sup>5</sup> However, we inadvertently applied these changes to the incorrect variable (CREDITU) instead of the variable NTN used to report credit expenses (CREDITU\_1).<sup>6</sup>

### Court's Remand

Before the Court, NTN alleged that the Department did not make necessary corrections after NTN submitted a recalculation of its imputed credit expenses.<sup>7</sup> The Department acknowledged its inadvertent use of the "CREDITU" variable and the United States requested a remand in order to correct this inadvertent error.<sup>8</sup> The Court granted the request.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> See memorandum to file, "Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan: NTN Corporation - Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order (5/1/08 - 4/30/09)" (April 21, 2009) at section 2-A of the margin calculation program. We made no changes to NTN's margin calculation for the final results of review. See memorandum to file, "Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan: NTN Corporation - Analysis Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order (5/1/08 - 4/30/09)" (August 26, 2010) at 1.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> See *Remand Order* at 10.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*, at 11.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

### Draft Results of Redetermination


We issued the draft results of redetermination on March 3, 2015.<sup>10</sup> We solicited comments on the draft results of redetermination on March 6, 2015.<sup>11</sup> The Timken Company filed a letter supporting our draft results of redetermination.<sup>12</sup>

### **Discussion**

In accordance with the *Remand Order*, we corrected the error by using the CREDITU\_1 variable to recalculate NTN's U.S. imputed credit expenses in the manner described above. Correcting this error resulted in no changes to NTN's weighted-average dumping margin.<sup>13</sup>

### **Amended Results of Redetermination**

Pursuant to the *Remand Order*, the Department recalculated NTN's weighted-average dumping margin. In these amended remand results we continue to determine a weighted-average dumping margin of 13.46 percent for NTN.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Paul Piquado  
Assistant Secretary  
for Enforcement and Compliance

6 MAY 2015  
Date

<sup>10</sup> See Draft Results Of Remand Redetermination; NTN Bearing Corporation of America, v. United States (March 3, 2015).

<sup>11</sup> See letter to interested parties dated March 6, 2015.

<sup>12</sup> See the letter from The Timken Company dated March 6, 2015.

<sup>13</sup> See memorandum to file, "Analysis Memorandum for Draft Results of Redetermination (Consol. Court No 10-00286) for NTN Corporation (NTN) in the 2008-09 Remanded Administrative Review of Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan," dated March 3, 2015.